```
7bknbroc
 1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      BARBARA BROUGHEL,
                    Plaintiff, New York, N.Y.
 4
 5
                v.
                                             07 Civ. 7755 (GBD)
 6
      THE BATTERY CONSERVANCY,
      WARRIE PRICE
 7
                   Defendants.
 8
 9
                                             November 20, 2007
10
                                              11:00 a.m.
11
      Before:
12
                         HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,
13
                                             District Judge
14
                              APPEARANCES
15
      THE LAW OFFICE OF BARBARA HOFFMAN
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
      BY: BARBARA HOFFMAN
17
     MARGARET PFEIFFER
     RITA CARRIER
18
      SUSAN K. NASH
          Attorneys for Defendants
19.
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 (Case called)

THE COURT: Good morning.

Let me just start with you, Ms. Hoffman. How far have you gotten. I know you've sent letters with regard to wanting to amend the complaint. What's the status at this point?

MS. HOFFMAN: I heard from counsel yesterday afternoon that they had no objection to our serving the amended complaint, and we have proceeded to do so, I hope with your permission.

THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to review and discuss the proposed case management plan?

MS. HOFFMAN: Yes. We jointly have prepared one and submitted it.

THE COURT: Did you change any of the dates, or did you use the dates that I suggested?

MS. HOFFMAN: We advanced the dates by 120 days based on contemplated motions.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. HOFFMAN: Ms. Pfeiffer, Ms. Carrier, and Ms. Nash, have you seen the proposed amendment or know the nature of the amendment and have no objection to the amendment.

MS. PFEIFFER: Yes, your Honor. That was agreed to in our civil case scheduling order. We noticed that your Honor seems to have adopted dates that we had submitted.

> THE COURT: I already signed your order?

25

2.4

25

1 MS. PFEIFFER: Well, I am not very good at electronic 2 dockets, but it looks like you have. 3 THE COURT: OK. 4 Just let me make sure. Did I leave the next case 5 management conference on for March 12? Is that date still on? 6 MS. PFEIFFER: I think it's July 10 now. 7 THE COURT: Not the final conference, but the original number -- there was a conference close to the end of discovery 8 9 which was set for March 12. I don't have your copy in front of 10 me. 11 MS. PFEIFFER: What we set down was the next case 12 management conference on July 10. 13 THE COURT: July 10? 14 MS. PFEIFFER: Yes. 15 THE COURT: OK. 16 MS. PFEIFFER: I am having trouble reading it on the 17 docket. 18 THE COURT: OK. I will set it down for that date. 19 it is an earlier date, that's fine. Why don't I suggest that 20 you go ahead and move forward with discovery. If there are 21 issues, bring them to my attention by letter. If you want the 22 assistance of the magistrate judge for possible settlement 23 discussions, let me know. Otherwise, what's the date that you

MS. PFEIFFER: To be finished?

anticipate discovery would be closed?

2.4

1 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PFEIFFER: Let's see. The final pretrial is October 9.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. PFEIFFER: With dispositive motions done by the 14th, to be filed on the 14th of August.

THE COURT: I will leave the July date on. Move forward. If I don't hear from you, I will just assume that discovery is moving efficiently to close out on that schedule.

If we don't need to have the conference, let me know that you are going to file the motions. Give me a letter to that effect and tell me what the entire motion schedule is going to be, when it will be fully submitted. If you want to be heard, I will put it down after it's fully submitted.

Is there anything else we need address today? I didn't want to hold you up any longer.

MS. HOFFMAN: Just one issue. My client understands now that the project that's at issue in this case is proceeding. We would like to move for a preliminary injunction pending the resolution of the case.

THE COURT: All right. Both sides should talk and figure out what the status is and whether or not it is appropriate to move for that. If you want to move for that, then just lay it out to me and I'll consider it. At this point I don't really know enough about what's happening to know

whether or not that is appropriate. Why don't you discuss that and see if you want to do that. If you want to do that, then I'll entertain it when you are in a position to give me the facts to consider it.

MS. PFEIFFER: Your Honor, if I may make two comments?
THE COURT: Sure.

MS. PFEIFFER: One, Ms. Hoffman's' motion, not only is it greatly late, since the termination of her client took place in March -- the complaint was filed in August and it is now Thanksgiving -- but, as she is well aware, the only two images produced by her client that were ever used by our client are no longer on the Battery's website. We've ceased doing that. There is no conduct that involves anything that Ms. Broughel has done that can be enjoined. So we would think that a motion would be ill advised. We will, of course, discuss that with her. But we wish to bring that to your attention.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. PFEIFFER: Secondly '-- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. PFEIFFER: We have yet to receive a proper 26(a) statement from the plaintiffs. The one that we received yesterday, which was due last week, was not signed and contains no computation of damages. So we would like to ask the plaintiff to send us a proper 26(a) statement promptly, if that would be agreeable.

2.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HOFFMAN: We fully intended to comply with the rules. I might add we have also not received a 26(a) statement which I understood was sent to us by mail. We sent ours via e-mail twice. We have no intent to not do it.

THE COURT: Discuss these issues. As I tell people all the time, I am not the interpreter or translator for lawyers. The things you want to ask each other, don't ask me, ask each other first. Bring it to my attention if the other side refuses. Then you can lay it out for me as an issue, and I will issue the appropriate order depending on whether or not it's a valid or invalid refusal to comply or respond to a request.

Why don't you see if you can work those issues out.

If you can't, just give me a letter and I will address it right away so you can move forward.

MS. PFEIFFER: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. HOFFMAN: Thank you very much. Have a good holiday.

THE COURT: You, too.

(Adjourned)

2.2.